

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/016,610	10/30/2001	Richard McGowan	SC0251WD	6598		
75	590 12/03/2003	EXAM	EXAMINER			
Motorola, Inc. Austin Intellectual Property Law Section			BRATLIE,	BRATLIE, STEVEN A		
7700 West Parm		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Austin, TX 78129			3652			
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7'-		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
••		10/016619 Examiner BRATLIE		McGowAN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
				3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b):								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10/20/03</u>								
2a)□								
3)[	the second secon							
Dispositjon of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 16-20 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s)/_ is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>/6 - 20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election red	quirement.	****				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)☐ o	bjected to by the Exa	ıminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a daim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notk	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			ry (PTO-413) Paper N I Patent Application (F				
U.S. Patent and 1 PTO-326 (Re		Action Summar	v	Part of	Paper No.			

.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,610

Art Unit: 3662

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

- 2. In the specification "track 500" has been changed to –means 500- to correspond with "means 500" later recited in the specification.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 16-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwasaki in view of Huber et al and Heinrich et al.

Iwasaki discloses a substantially similar method. Huber et al and Heinrich et al disclose marking individual wafers. It would have been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mark individual wafers separately. The motivation is to track each wafer.

Wu et al is cited to shown a similar method.

'Application/Control Number: 10/016,610

Art Unit: 3662

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

Bratlie/vs November 24, 2003

STEVEN A RRATUE

Steven a, Brathe